COUNCIL OF DIRECTORS OF STUDENT ACADEMIC SERVICES

MINUTES

March 13, 2019 126 ITLE 10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

In attendance: Laurie Beets, Ryan Chung, Leslie Evans, Kathryn Gage, Amy Gazaway, Linda Good, Ebonie Hill, Nick Holmes, Diane Jones, Cheryl Kleeman, Tim McCue, Marissa McIntyre, Lance Millis, Robyn Moore, Beverly Morris, Rita Peaster, Libby Reigh, Kyndal Roark, Sky Rogers, Kristi Seuhs, Richard Shepard, Julie Swaringim-Griffin, Deb VanOverbeke, Missy Wikle and Bridget Miller, Chair

1. Needs Assessment of First and Second Year CASNR Students with Significant Concurrent Hours– Deb VanOverbeke and Ryan Chung

D. VanOverbeke presented the executive summary of a recent survey for Freshmen and transition students who brought in a considerable amount of hours (up to 74 concurrent hours). In total, there were 673 students who received the survey, and 573 responded – 85% response rate. CASNR and University Assessment and Testing (UAT) developed a Needs Assessment with AG 1011 and ANSC 2111. D. VanOverbeke explained that the reason for this survey was to determine if CASNR should be dealing differently with the students who were bringing significant concurrent hours to OSU prior to their freshmen year in college, and at this point the answer is "no". She explained that she felt more information was necessary from the second year students to determine more specific needs to address. D. VanOverbeke would like to see other colleges join in the survey to get a bigger sampling of students.

Information was shared with Instruction Council so associate deans will know about this. The possibility of forming a small committee to modify questions was discussed.

For further details regarding the recent survey, please review the attached executive summary.

2. NOC Enrollment for Continuing Students – Missy Wikle

M. Wikle met with Northern Oklahoma College (NOC) representatives to verify continuing student enrollment. OSU students will be allowed to enroll at NOC beginning April 26, 2019 for the Fall 2019 semester. Refer to OSU/NOC-Stillwater Enrollment Permit document. This document is located on the Registrar's website. It is a downloadable and fillable PDF. M. Wikle explained that advisors will need to complete this form for their students who will be enrolling in NOC classes. A. Martindale requested that all information be distributed via email to all undergraduate advisors. M. Wikle reminded DSAS members to inform their students that an unofficial transcript will be accepted during NOC enrollment

L. Beets noted that OSU Faculty and Staff Dependent Waivers do not cover the NOC courses.

3. Academic Alerts, Mid Term Grades and FYS Partnerships – Missy Wikle

First Year Success (FYS) personnel would like to partner with all undergraduate colleges to contact students whose midterm grades indicate they are struggling academically. FYS encourages students to return to their specific college for academic assistance. FYS is focused on addressing other issues that are more social in nature. For additional information, please contact M. Wikle.

FYS / LASSO and New Student Orientation (NSO) will be partnering to present information on academic assistance during the NSO sessions this summer to students and parents at the same time.

4. Enrollment Holds – Amy Martindale

A Martindale expressed her concern regarding the barriers that are being placed on students with a significant

number of holds. Every active hold is displayed and can be overwhelming to students. K. Gage would like to pursue conversation with A. Martindale outside of DSAS meeting regarding the immunization holds, as Health Services is under the Student Affairs purview. She will follow up with Health Services. L. Reigh informed DSAS that Admissions was not monitoring the immunization records but she would take a look at the procedure to see about implementing.

Possibly there should be a side meeting regarding players of multiple holds – Bursar, Student Affairs, Registrar's Office (RO). RO will remove those holds in mass at the end of term processing the following year if hold has not been removed. C. Thrasher indicated that on occasion students file late drop petitions and report that they could not drop online. Academic Affairs verifies dates via holds. For removal of Fall 2018 holds, DSAS members indicated they would prefer having the advisor hold be removed at the end of the Fall 2018 semester.

5. Online Major Change Application Update – Robyn Moore

R. Moore announced that she is continuing with online major change testing, and that a production pilot is scheduled with SSB beginning April 1. She is encouraged that all of the defects from previous testings were addressed. Provided that the production pilot goes well, we will plan full implementation before the end of April. R. Peaster and R. Moore will be meeting to discuss communication for campus regarding this new capability procedure, and will forward a draft communication to the DSAS leaders for feedback.

6. Photos in Self Service - Rita Peaster

IT has enabled photos in Self Service in a test environment, and now R. Peaster is seeking feedback on options for photos. Refer to Photos in Self Service, Overview and Options document. Photos are available in the following areas:

- Student Profile
- My Advisee Listing
- Faculty Grade Entry Student Contact Card
- Faculty Grade Entry Course/Student Details
- Registration Browse Class Schedule
- Registration Class Details
- Register for Classes
- Banner 9 Class List / Class Roster

After much discussion DSAS members indicated they were supportive of the following photos in Self Service:

- Student Profile student, advisor and instructor photos
- My Advisee Listing student photos
- Faculty Grade Entry student photos
- Class Roster student photos

The photos in Self Service will be presented to Faculty Council for their recommendation at the April 9, 2019 monthly meeting.

7. Working Group to Review Enrollment Exception Requests and OSU Policy 2-0206 Adding and Dropping Courses and Withdrawing from the University – Rita Peaster

R. Peaster reported that requests to add a course after the deadline which used to be handled on a case by case basis are much more mainstream now, and guidelines in this process are not covered anywhere in policy. RO would prefer to have a solid policy in place to address this issue and at the same time review add, drop and withdrawal policy and practice given changes introduced with Banner. She is planning to form a working group to review these items, and seeks volunteers to serve on the group. Volunteers to serve on the working group included: R Shepard, A. Martindale, M. Wikle, D. VanOverbeke, L. Reigh, L. Beets.

8. The SZRLNTR Report, NOC/TCC Enrollment, and Financial Aid – Linda Good

L. Good detailed the SZRLNTR report. NOC enrollment courses will generally show on this report earlier than Tulsa Community College (TCC) enrollment courses. NOC courses are typically visible shortly after NOC enrollment opens. TCC courses are typically visible after previous semester has ended (Fall 2019 courses will not

be added until Summer 2019 semester is complete). L. Good will relay an advisor request for earlier TCC enrollment information if possible to Doug Reed in IRIM.

9. Transfer Agreement Templates – Cheryl Kleeman

Refer to Finish Orange and OCC to OSU Transfer Maps documents. DSAS members indicated they preferred the TCC to OSU version. Because TCC is a pathway school, it requires a sequence listing.

C. Kleeman explained that she is working to familiarize herself of the amount of time that is put into these sequences, whether or not they are going to be used, and how that communicates to students. Discussion was held regarding a more mobile friendly process as well.

C. Kleeman is scheduled to meet with the communications and marketing department to discuss textwise key points.

Working group: B. Morris, A. Martindale, K. Seuhs, D. VanOverbeke, M. McIntyre, L. Millis to re-work template. DSAS representative will either serve on working group or contact C. Kleeman with representative from college to serve.

10. Other

- R. Peaster announced a new version of Self Service Registration was submitted over the weekend. Final exams are listed on class schedule now; parts of term is also included. Messaging to students needs to include that parts of term number other than "1" indicates a short course. Registrar's Office will draft communication to students
- L. Millis reminded DSAS members of the step challenge

Meeting was adjourned at 11:55 a.m.

Minutes were recorded by Kyndal Roark

2018 College of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources— Needs Survey (CASNR-NS) Executive Summary

The College of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources — Needs Survey (CASNR-NS) was developed in the Fall of 2018 in order to measure concepts regarding first year student experiences in a course; the Animal Science program participated in the pilot study. The CASNR-NS consisted of 32 five-point Likert scale items designed to measure concepts of Task, Nature, CASNR, Study Habits, Class, Interaction, Cognition, and Preference. Data was solicited from first-year students in an Animal Science course (N=673) on the Stillwater campus from 9/23 to 10/12, using Qualtrics survey software.

Preliminary findings are below:

- Response Rate: Data collection yielded n=573 (85%) responses
- Gender: 426 females (74.3%) and 147 males (25.7%)
- Ethnicity: 431 (75.2%) White; 35 (6.1%) Hispanic, 27(4.7%) American Indian, 66(11.5%) Multiracial • Averages: ACT Score: 24.13; SAT Score: 1032; High School GPA: 3.78; Transfer Credit: 3; Transfer

Credit earned: 15; Overall GPA: 3.17; Overall Institutional Credit Earned: 28

- Classification: 562 (98%) new Freshmen
- Student Status: 525 (91.6%) full-time students
- Reliability: Overall "Good" reliability (Cronbach's Alpha = .85)

Top items (70% or higher rated Strongly Agree and Somewhat Agree):

- I attend class regularly (94.5%)
- CASNR promotes the use of support services (tutoring/writing center, etc.) (93.7%)
- I intend to return to OSU next year (92.7%)
- CASNR promotes opportunities to be involved socially (91.2%)
- CASNR provides support for my overall well-being (85.9%)
- I have had quality interactions with other students at CASNR (83.9%)
- If I could start over again, I will still go to OSU (81.9%)
- I use a day planner/calendar to organize my weekly routine (81.2%)
- I examine the strengths and weaknesses of my own views on a topic/issue (74.4%)
- I ask other students to help me understand course material (71.7%)
- I need to improve my study skills and habits (70.3%)*

Bottom items (40% or higher rated Strongly Disagree and Somewhat Disagree):

- I come to class without completing readings/assignments (65.2%)*
- I am satisfied with merely passing all my classes (62.9%)*
- I need to develop teamwork skills (43%)*
- I need to accept greater responsibility for my own actions (46.S%)*
- I need help setting goals and taking steps toward achieving them (40%)*

*Could be interpreted as reversed item.

2018 OSU CASNR-NS - ANIMAL SCIENCE PILOT Total Number of Responses: N = 573

Strongly Agree (5)		Somewhat Agree (4)		Neither Agree Nor Disagree (3)		Somewhat Disagress (2)		Strongly Disagree (1)		Total Responses
#	%	#	%	4	%	#	%		%	
42	7.3%	176	30.8%	125	21.9%	155	27.1%	74	12.9%	572
80	14.0%	181	31.6%	96	16.8%	144	25.1%	72	12.6%	573
311	54.6%	152	26.7%	35	6.1%	40	7.0%	32	5.6%	570
112	19.5%	197	34.4%	110	19.2%	103	18.0%	51	8.9%	573
29	5.1%	111	19.4%			170	and the second second		18.2%	571
147	25.7%	210	36.6%	105	18.3%	83	14.5%	28	4.9%	573
ALC: NOT	1000	1000			132, 543		10110	1. 1927	1000	and the second
-	-		and the second se	Statement Party and The						572
		-			the second se					572
										572
					the second se			Concession of the local division of the loca	_	572
-	and the second s								_	572
47	8.276	108	23.475	15/	21.5%	15/	24.076	62	10.9%	3/1
384	67.3%	151	26.4%	31	5.4%	3	0.5%	2	0.4%	571
354	62.1%	166	29.1%	40	7.0%	9	1.6%	1	0.2%	570
302	52.9%	_194	34.0%	58	10.2%	16	2.8%	1	0.2%	\$71
Ster 20		State of	100 Sept		The second second	12.00	10 ° 20	1. 1. 2.		
109	19.3%	296	52.4%	.78	13.8%	60	10.6%	22	3.9%	565
149	26.4%	248	43.9%	83	14.7%	66	11.7%	19	3.4%	565
70	12.4%	190	33.6%	172	30.4%	102	18.1%	31	5.5%	565
12000	1.0	131.6	100	1491-5	-	Carlos and				
		And in case of the local division of the loc	the second se	and the second se	and the second se				and the second se	563
12	2.1%	77	13.7%	107	19.0%	182	32.3%	186	33.0%	564
125	22.2%	267	47.3%	134	23.8%	34	6.0%	4	0.7%	564
85	15.1%	169	30.0%	155	27.5%	101	17.9%	54	9.6%	564
1				L. Barthan	Arrest Mar		at Disease			Total
Strongh	Strongly Agree (5)		Somewhat Agree (4)		Disagree (3)		(2)			
	%		%		%		%		%	
214	38.1%	171	30.5%	1.25	22.3%	30	5.3%	21	3.7%	561
*	Par wingstruct	-	ALCORT PROF.	-	COMPACT.	-	CURCHPERIN	-	All Annotation of the second second	564
269	sheet periods	-	36.2%	64	11.3%	21	3.7%	6	1.1%	564
	20.00	100	33.44		26.00		7.00		2.00	
1	20080000	-	Hills 2011	-	CE WARK		4010 Jan 44.35		CV Letopate/Kil	563
48			50.3%	159	19.7%	30	5.3%	4/	0.5%	563
								-		
172	30.5%	175	31.0%	118	20.9%	59	10.5%	40	7.1%	100
	00.379	1 1/3	31.0%	118	and the second second			_	_	564
31	5.5%	87	15.4%	91	16.1%	143	25.4%	212	37.6%	564
_	5.5%	87	15.4%	91	16.1%	143	25.4%	212	37.6%	564
_	5.5%		15.4%	91	16.1%	20	3.6%	212	37.6%	564
	42 80 311 112 29 147 200 176 86 120 37 47 384 302 37 47 30 37 47 384 302 37 37 47 384 302 37 37 384 302 37 37 37 47 384 302 37 37 47 384 302 37 37 384 302 37 37 37 384 302 37 37 37 37 384 302 30 37 37 384 302 37 37 384 302 37 37 38 4 302 37 37 38 4 30 37 37 38 4 30 37 37 38 4 30 37 37 38 4 30 37 37 38 4 30 37 37 38 4 30 37 37 38 4 30 37 37 38 4 30 37 37 38 4 30 37 30 37 30 37 30 37 30 37 30 37 30 37 30 37 30 30 37 30 30 37 30 30 37 30 30 37 30 30 37 30 30 37 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	42 7.3% 80 14.0% 311 54.6% 112 19.5% 29 5.1% 147 25.7% 200 35.0% 176 30.8% 86 15.0% 120 21.0% 37 6.5% 47 8.2% 384 67.3% 354 62.1% 302 52.9% 109 19.3% 149 26.4% 70 12.4% 457 81.2% 12 2.1% 125 22.2% 85 15.1% 5trongly Agree (5) % 214 38.1% 121 21.5% 269 47.7% 166 29.5% 48 8.5%	42 7.3% 176 80 14.0% 181 311 54.6% 152 112 19.5% 197 29 5.1% 111 147 25.7% 210 200 35.0% 186 176 30.8% 198 86 15.0% 154 120 21.0% 157 37 6.5% 83 47 8.2% 168 302 52.9% 194 109 19.3% 296 149 26.4% 248 70 12.4% 190 457 81.2% 75 12 2.1% 77 125 22.2% 267 85 15.1% 169 Strongly Agree (5) Somewid # % # 214 38.1% 171 * 121 21.5% 152 269<	42 7.3% 176 30.8% 80 14.0% 181 31.6% 311 54.6% 152 26.7% 112 19.5% 197 34.4% 29 5.1% 111 19.4% 147 25.7% 210 36.6% 200 35.0% 186 32.5% 147 25.7% 210 36.6% 200 35.0% 186 32.5% 147 25.7% 210 36.6% 200 21.0% 154 26.9% 120 21.0% 157 27.4% 37 6.5% 83 14.5% 47 8.2% 168 29.4% 302 52.9% 194 34.0% 302 52.9% 194 34.0% 109 19.3% 296 52.4% 149 26.4% 248 43.9% 70 12.4% 190 33.6%	42 7.3% 176 30.8% 125 80 14.0% 181 31.6% 96 311 54.6% 152 26.7% 35 112 19.5% 197 34.4% 110 29 5.1% 111 19.4% 157 147 25.7% 210 36.6% 105 200 35.0% 186 32.5% 76 176 30.8% 198 34.6% 80 86 15.0% 154 26.9% 148 120 21.0% 157 27.4% 123 37 6.5% 83 14.5% 186 477 8.2% 168 29.4% 157 384 67.3% 151 26.4% 31 354 62.1% 166 29.1% 40 302 52.9% 194 34.0% 58 109 19.3% 296 52.4% 78	42 7.3% 176 30.8% 125 21.9% 80 14.0% 181 31.6% 96 16.8% 311 54.6% 152 26.7% 35 6.1% 112 19.5% 197 34.4% 110 19.2% 29 5.1% 111 19.4% 157 27.5% 147 25.7% 210 36.6% 105 18.3% 200 35.0% 186 32.5% 76 13.3% 176 30.8% 198 34.6% 80 14.0% 86 15.0% 154 26.9% 148 25.9% 120 21.0% 157 27.4% 123 21.5% 37 6.5% 83 14.5% 186 32.5% 47 8.2% 158 29.4% 157 27.5% 384 67.3% 151 26.4% 31 5.4% 302 52.9% 194 34.0%	42 7.3% 176 30.8% 125 21.9% 155 80 14.0% 181 31.6% 96 16.8% 144 311 54.6% 152 26.7% 35 6.1% 40 112 19.5% 197 34.4% 110 19.2% 103 29 5.1% 111 19.4% 157 27.5% 170 147 25.7% 210 36.6% 105 18.3% 83 200 35.0% 186 32.5% 76 13.3% 79 176 30.8% 198 34.6% 80 14.0% 79 366 15.0% 154 26.9% 148 25.9% 119 120 21.0% 157 27.4% 123 21.5% 160 477 8.2% 158 29.4% 157 27.5% 137 384 67.3% 151 26.4% 31 5.4% 3	42 7.3% 176 30.8% 125 21.9% 155 27.1% 80 14.0% 181 31.6% 96 15.8% 144 25.1% 311 54.6% 152 26.7% 35 6.1% 40 7.0% 112 19.5% 197 34.4% 110 19.2% 103 18.0% 29 5.1% 111 19.4% 157 27.5% 170 29.8% 147 25.7% 210 36.6% 105 18.3% 83 14.5% 200 35.0% 186 32.5% 76 13.3% 79 13.8% 120 21.0% 157 27.4% 123 21.5% 111 19.4% 37 6.5% 33 14.5% 186 32.5% 160 28.0% 37 8.5% 151 26.4% 31 5.4% 3 0.5% 37 6.5% 31.45 160 28.0%	42 7.3% 176 20.8% 125 21.9% 155 27.1% 74 80 14.0% 151 31.6% 96 16.8% 144 25.1% 72 311 54.6% 152 26.7% 35 6.1% 40 7.0% 32 112 19.5% 197 34.4% 110 19.2% 103 18.0% 51 29 5.1% 111 19.4% 157 27.5% 170 29.8% 104 147 25.7% 210 36.6% 105 18.3% 33 14.5% 28 200 35.0% 156 32.5% 76 13.3% 79 13.8% 31 176 30.8% 154 26.9% 148 25.9% 119 20.8% 65 120 21.0% 157 27.4% 123 21.5% 161 20.9% 105 37 6.5% 83 14.5% 162	42 7.3% 176 30.8% 125 21.9% 155 27.1% 74 12.9% 80 14.0% 181 31.6% 96 16.8% 144 25.1% 72 12.6% 311 54.6% 152 26.7% 35 6.1% 40 7.0% 32 5.6% 112 19.5% 197 34.4% 110 19.2% 103 18.0% 51 8.9% 29 5.1% 111 19.4% 157 27.5% 170 29.8% 104 18.2% 147 25.7% 210 36.6% 105 18.3% 83 14.5% 28 4.9% 200 35.0% 156 32.5% 76 13.3% 79 13.8% 31 5.4% 176 30.8% 138 34.6% 80 14.0% 79 13.8% 31 5.4% 120 21.0% 157 27.5% 113 9.4.9% 10.4%

Note: 5 = "Strongly Agree"; 4 = "Somewhat Agree"; 3 = "Neither Agree Nor Disagree"; 2 = "Somewhat Disagree"; 1 = "Strongly Disagree"

Source: University Assessment and Testing, Spring 2019